C L I P S - Q U O T E S - C O M M E N T S

"F" - 4

April 15, 1956

CONTENTS: PAGES:

Notes from an American Tour - (by Desmond Leslie) . . . . . 1 - 3

Behind The Iron Curtain of Medicine.... The Antiproton and Energy Unlimited.... Fire-ball or Hot Cinder ? .... Major Keyhoe Recommends . . . . . - 4

How Fast Is "Fastest"? . . . . . 5 - 6

The Cosmic Rays - and - Life On Mars . . . . . - 7

Secretary Quarles, Editor Gribble, et al . . . . . - 8

Publications . . . . . - 9

****

"Clips, Quotes, and Comments" (bi-weekly) is issued on the first and 15th of each month, by the Borderland Sciences Research Associates, at: 3524 Adams Ave., San Diego 16, California.

Twelve issues (6 months) for $5.00; six issues (3 months) for $2.50; two issues for $1.00. Contains only short articles and miscellaneous material supplementary to the BSR bi-monthly ROUND ROBIN.

Meade Layne, M.A., Director; Margaret V. Locke, Associate Editor; Kathleen Banks, Book-keeper.

C.Q.C. "F"-4 April 15, 1956

NOTES FROM AN AMERICAN TOUR -- by Desmond Leslie. - "I toured America lecturing on 'The Subject' from September until December, 1955. I was amazed at the interest and the size of the audiences which in some cases exceeded a thousand people at a time. I spoke on thirty radio and TV shows, and on every occasion received a courteous and interesting hearing, whatever the interviewer's personal opinions. I also met Dr. Menzel. Yes, dear friends, my worst fears were justified. The good doctor took pains to put me aright. He has found, you will rejoice to hear, 'the common or garden object which Stephen Darbishire and George Adamski have photographed as a flying saucer'. When I asked politely what the object was, he declined to tell me.

"Funny that no one else should have found it, for if it can be found in shops on both sides of the Atlantic; in the California one-horse town of Valley Center, and in a remote Lancashire village like Coniston, it must be an ubiquitous article. Funny, though, that Stephen's second photo shows this object to be distorted as if it was made of rubber - luminous rubber. Has anyone 'round these parts seen luminous soft rubber saucers being sold off cheap in the recent sales ? If so, I should like to have one, particularly if it really flies.

"I showed Dr. Menzel the excellent and careful reports by astronomer Harold Hill and William Oliver (see vol. I No. 3 "Flying Saucer Review"), but after reading a few lines, the sage tossed them back to me, dispelling the mystery forever in a single word - a word of such lucid genius as to leave me spellbound.

"Birds!", he said. "Birds! "

"I could not say very much as I was a guest in the man's home. Nor did I need to. For if he prefers a bird to a fact let him have it... before he gets it.

"However, I was purposefully polite: I tossed one more pearl before reaching for my hat. By way of contrast I told him briefly of an amazing demonstration I twice witnessed under test conditions in company with several eminent English editors and a company chairman, during which complete living beings were materialized and a human being of our race was 'dematerialized' and passed through a solid wall, to be re-assembled out in the street. I merely spoke of this to watch his reaction.

"A furrow wrinkled the great brow. Then enlightenment dawned once more.

"'You were hypnotized. '

"Just think of it. I had to go all the way to Boston, Mass., to be rid of my delusions. Of course, the fact that he was not present on any of these occasions is utterly beside the point. The fact that Hill and Oliver, unlike professors of astrophysics,, actually use their telescopes and are probably more familiar with the sky than theoretical teachers, is of no account. The fact that hard-headed business men in cold sobriety saw exactly what I and twelve other people saw at the materialization experiments is quite beside the point. The fact that he had never met nor questioned any of the numerous people who have seen or photographed the Adamski-type saucer is barely worth recording. For me to suggest that such an approach on Menzel's part might not be scientific does not even enter into it.

"One begins to wonder if perhaps some of the wrongs in this world are due to its Creator not possessing a Harvard degree in Astrophysics."

(Note A-- Leonard Cramp's book, by the way, is 'sheer bilge'(Menzelism No.23-Vol XXXI.
(Note B-- See also "World Science Review", February, 1955- pp. 14-15).
(sic, in D.L.'s notes; no precise back-reference to his ms.)

(contd) --

"FROM NOTES ON TRAVELS IN CALIFORNIA":(by Desmond Leslie-) -- (Mr. Leslie visited George Adamski, and while there met 'some interesting young pilots. I'd better not give names or they may get into trouble. One had just come from a UFO IDENTIFICATION COURSE': all very hush-hush and secret. I asked him what classifications they used. Did they call them 'Spots before the eyes', 'Light Inversions', 'Lenticular clouds', etc., ?

"Heck, no!' he replied, 'They had models and actual photographs, and they classified them - 'Scout ship', 'Mother ship', 'Carrier types'.

"You mean they've used the names from our book?' I gasped.

"Sure thing!' Then he laughed. 'And they've got some pictures of some you've never seen. But be careful who you repeat this to. Its all highly secret.'

"A naval pilot told me that the previous week, early one morning, he had been passing the Howard Hughes Airstrip at the intersection of Sepulveda Boulevard in Los Angeles. Suddenly a Saucer left the ground and shot up into the air. He managed to find the place - on a strip of waste-land just outside the airstrip. Here the dust and sand was burned in a dark circle about forty feet across.

"Quite calmly the pilot told me: 'I figured it was picking someone up.'

"A marine officer told me that during the short truce in the Korean War a huge whirling luminous Saucer patrolled up and down the lines. So regular was its progress that they could set watches by it. It was much too high for interception by fighters, but both sides saw it ... and wondered.

"Naturally, the troops were told 'not to talk about this.'

"A professor from Columbia University (New York) also visited us with a strange tale. It appeared that an airliner approaching LaGuardia Field had been contacted by a large Saucer which came alongside and called up the pilot by radio (plain language). The captain had the sense to relay this to his passengers through the cabin speakers, so all aboard the pland heard the two-way conversation. The professor did not tell us the text of the talk but said that LaGuardia Tower also received it; and when the plane landed it was told to wait in a corner of the field in disgrace. Here no onw was allowed to leave until the F.B.I. arrived. What they told the passengers and crew I do not know , but it must have been pretty good as none of them have dared reveal their experience. (Oh, that we or the editor of 'Flying Saucer Review' had been aboard with our British passports!) However, Columbia University has its own radio and it was on this that a recording of the conversation was made. The professor asked us not to reveal his name or he would be in trouble.

"No doubt about it! There <u>is</u> a censorship. I even came across it personally the day of my big lecture in Columbus, Ohio, with which we inaugurated the lovely new Veteran's Memorial Hall (a good way to inaugurate a hall, we thought). That morning a very personal slapdown, accusing me of trading on incredulous fancy to make money* appeared on the front page of the Columbus journals, written by a Professor Hyneck (Yes, you've guessed it: he was the local Professor of Astrophysics - a devout Menzelian, to boot). However, this article had the reverse effect. Our meeting was packed, and I used Hyneck's article as the basis on which to frame my speech. He had made five glaring errors, so easily disprovable, that I almost felt sorry for the angry faces in the front row who I learned afterwards were members of the Savant's astrophy class.

(*Note: I netted 13 cents on that lecture.) --

(contd)--

"Another interesting meeting was for eight hundred works foremen at Eastman Kodak's works in Rochester, N.Y. Here I dealt mainly with the photos, showing on the screen the Adamski and Darbishire photos with Cramp's Orthographic Projections. I invited any expert present to come up and disprove my argument. I also displayed original prints for their examination. No one had any alternate theory to offer. Possibly the Rochester stores don't stock Menzel soft luminous rubber common or garden objects.

"A Marine officer back in California also told me that air to air radio conversations are now so common that any pilot having one has immediately to fill in a special red-coloured form marked 'Secret'.

"A form! - You don't print forms until things happen pretty often.

"During all this, Secretary Quarles said: 'There are no flying saucers.' I wonder if he has spoken to former Naval Secretary, Dan Kimble, who when aboard his 'Fat*Cat' with his personal staff was accosted by a giant glowing cylinder. The monster flew alongside his plane for a few moments displaying lights and bluish portholes. Then it shot off. Kimble, a most factual unimaginative man, was shaken enough to speak of this on landing. Promptly he was told by the Pentagon that if he wished to remain Navy Secretary, he had not - repeat not - seen any such thing.

"Actually he'd seen one of Dr. Menzel's birds.

"Yes, there's still plenty going on in America, and many new developments in 'Saucery'. But it is having to go underground. Why the Government should be so scared of letting the people know the truth is anyone's guess. But the reason is probably a mixture of many things - fear of panic, fear of losing an election, fear of a slump in Wall Street should it be known all our power sources and vehicles are obsolete.

"However, it was a fascinating and most worthwhile tour. I found the ordinary people want to listen, and want to know. It is quite obvious now that if space - people ever land in force it will be from the ordinary people, and not the self-exalted, that they will receive cooperation and friendship. 'How long, oh Lord. How long?'"

(* - Note: A luxurious personal plane for high officials.)

- end -

No one really believes, of course, that Dr. Menzel is stupid; but if he is not stupid, and not possessed by some demon of perversity, it becomes difficult to believe in his honesty. And if he is dishonest, what kind of motive governs this long pretense? Is it simply a blind dogmatic determination not to accept some disagreeable fact? Or a craving for notoriety at any cost? Or is some powerful influence at work, to maintain the last stand of the rear-guard? L'affaire Menzel, of course, has representatives in all countries - and all of them are now becoming equally ridiculous.

Some of the motives of officialdom are easily guessed at -- and this has been said before, in more than one honest publication. One discovery, one fact which would cut at the root of the whole social and economic system of our time, would be a cheap, accessible, inexhaustible source of energy and power which could not be monopolized, 'cornered' and controlled. This theme, which could run to a thousand pages, needs no elaboration by us.

Behind the Iron Curtain of Medicine - is the title of a 23-page folder sent out by A. Fitzroy Anderson, or organization headed by him. He is well-known for his years of struggle against the drug and medical "Octopus" and as an authority on the legal issues involved. From page 2 of the folder we quote a very useful bit of information:

> "Important Note: If through the exercise of unconstitutional power you are forced to submit to vaccination, immediately swab out vaccination with lemon juice, and you need have no further concern, except as a citizen of the U.S.A., to fight to regain your inalienable right against assault."

Page 20 of the pamphlet gives a list of sources of information, concerning treatment of cancer by effective methods. "Copies may be obtained by writing to the Religious Liberty & Temperance Association, Box 195, Trenton, N.J." One copy, ten cents; 100 copies, $5.00. (Good idea to send them $1.00 for ten copies and pass the extras along where they will do the most good).

The Antiproton and Energy Unlimited. - On October 18, '56, the AP carried, with but little comment, an announcement of the production by the U of C laboratories of a nuclear particle called the antiproton. This particle because of its negative charge would react with any normal proton to convert both entirely to energy. This would release a thousand times more energy than has yet been realized from the most efficient nuclear reaction. This, to repeat, means the complete conversion of matter to energy.

The substance of the foregoing paragraph is taken from a brief article by Dan Fry, Editor of a new monthly, UNDERSTANDING (Vol. 1 No. 1 Jan. '56). Pub.at 4720 Peck Road, El Monte, Calif. Year, $2.50. Single, .25

In this connection, see article The Negatron, by physicist C.F. Krafft, in Round Robin XI-6, pg. 12, Jan.-Feb. (1956).

"Let us be more tolerant of those whose reality includes things beyond our comprehension. Their psychosis of today may be everyone's reality tomorrow." ...

"The present series of extra-terrestrial visitations began at the exact time when thinking men of earth began to realize with terrible certainty that mankind had at last achieved the ability to destroy itself completely, without having acquired the understanding to prevent itself from doing so... (But) our visitors are accomplishing the purpose for which they come."

(Ed. Dan Fry, ref.cited)

LAMAR OKLA. Jan. 30. "An object described as a ball of fire or a hot cinder or something burning ... larger than an 11-storey building and burning like a cinder, and an egg-shaped object appearing red-hot at the top and lighting up several acres was witness by service station operator S.J. McDonald, his wife, and her sister. They saw the monstrous object nine miles east of Holdenville. The object followed the car several miles and approachd within a quarter mile ... covering an area of about 15 acres. "We woke my wife; she saw the object and started crying. She said it gave her the impression something wanted to speak to her or something was going to happen. She said it made her feel bad." McAlister Airport said there were no aircraft over the area...The moon was off in a different direction and could be seen at the same time.

(From McAlister News-Capital, Holdenville News, 1/31/56. Quoted by SAUCERS, of March '56).

Major Keyhoe recommends that all who want the truth should write to their representatives in Washington protesting in strong terms against the news blackout in the matter of the UFO sightings.

HOW FAST IS "FASTEST" ?

If the speed of light is, as Einstein contended, a maximum and a constant for the universe, then an 'ether-ship' or any other object which attained this speed would have no dimensions, but an 'infinite' mass. If this is correct, it is obvious that any object or being attempting to 'cross' interstellar spaces would be held to a speed much below that of light. Since such distances are computed in light-years, the time required for such transit might run into centuries.

The scientifiction writers who love to dart about the stellar immensities with the joyous abandon of junebugs never openly admit that they are colliding with Professor Einstein's mathematics - in addition to the meteorites, bolides or what-have-you.

The distance from our system to Arcturus, for example, is said to be about 32.6 light years - and a light-year is about 182,000 x 60 x 60 x 24 x 365 miles. Give your Sunday-supplement aeronaut even a third of the light-speed and see how long his trip would take him. On behalf of the science-fiction addicts at any rate, some way must be found to wiggle out of this.

But according to our various planetary visitors, who get here in no time at all even from "byond the galaxies", this wiggle or wriggle is a simple matter. They just don't believe in Einstein - at least when he says that the 'speed of light' is a maximum. They are aware that to 'be somewhere' - in some given place- means to be 'tuned in' on the frequencies of that place. When you tune-in or tune out you respond to a different environment - as 'any fool can plainly see' - to quote Al Capps. Also, 'you are where your consciousness is' - if you'll pardon the stale metaphysics.

So, what these etheric people are really doing, is not discrediting Einstein, but making a masterly detour. They're not talking about motion at all, nor about transit of space. They're talking about being here and then being there.

And this seems to be the place to mention, that there is no world-wide or science-wide agreement about the speed of light being a maximum. There is, in fact, what seems to be good evidence that radiesthetic energy is far 'faster'. We got into this little essay on account of what lately appeared in the Meta - physical Digest (Vol. iii, No.2); and here it is:

> "The implications are vital. Once again we have found that, contrary to expectations, we have an effect that influences light, but is not light in the ordinary scientific sense. The speed of radiesthetic energy assessed by comparitive methods, came out at some 200,000 times that of normal light ... Does not radiesthetic energy, psychic energy, thought energy, all transcend space-time as we know it by purely physical methods?"

In his book called Space Travel Harold Goodwin remarks: "The Einsteinian conclusions are not entirely a matter of pure theory. When atomic particles are accelerated in the cyclotron, they do gain mass. Wouldn't it be odd if one of them some day exceeded the speed of light ?."

Yes, indeed, Mr. Goodwin - but the world seems to be full of very "odd" happenings.

Mr. Goodwin then goes on to remark that: "The constancy of the speed of light has been challenged recently. Some researchers have found cyclic changes within 14 miles per second and there seem to be daily variations. A European scientist who has studied the subject for over a quarter of a century, M. de Bray, says that the alleged constancy of light is unsupported by observation. Physicists

generally do not accept this point of view. They feel that the variations are due to factors other than changes in the velocity of light itself. If there should be variations, however, a main prop to relativity becomes as dead as the ether - which most scientists also defended."

Too bad that Mr. Goodwin* had to drag in that alleged demise of the ether 'theory' - since one could fill half this page with names of physicists who do not agree with him, and do agree with "it" -- subject, of course, to careful definition of the word ether and its derivatives.

However, Mr. Goodwin appears to approve of the concept of a space warp, which is said to change the geometry of the gravitational fields between celestial bodies. This would change the coordinates (position) of an object (say, a spaceship) and apparently avoids the idea of motion or transit, at least in 'occupied' space. So, it may be that the operations of etherian physicists will eventually be approved of by 'earthian' scientists also - which will be a great relief to all concerned.

I think I have said enough here, even in our school-boyish simplicity, to suggest that the concept of emergence, and of conversion of energy-frequencies in connection with the extraordinary phenomena of the aeroforms, is quite capable of serious scientific defense, even by 'earthian' physicists. A few well-chosen words from Bertrand Russell may give food for meditation:

> "It may be found that the ether is after all what is fundamental, and that electrons and hydrogen nuclei are merely states of strain in the ether. . .
> "It is possible that an electron is a kind of disturbance in the ether, most intense at one spot. . . It is equally possible that a hydrogen nucleus may be explained in a similar way. . . "

Referring to the explanation we offer, that there is no 'crossing of space' measured in light years, by the aeroforms, Russell remarks that the process by which an electron changes its orbit is inexplicable since it happens instantaneously, contrary to all known physical laws. "Perhaps" - he says - "there is NO intervening space..."

In like manner the ether ships emerge from one frequency into another, and thereby appear in a different place. There is no "empty space" to cross, and hence no time required for the transit.

A brilliant brochure (60 pgs.) in support of the existence of the Ether, is The Ether and its Vortices, by physicist Carl F. Krafft. Annandale, Va. - 1955.

Eddington, as is well known, says emphatically that we cannot dispense with the ether, and that in trying to do so we have to attribute properties to the interspaces and represent them by a 'host of symbols'. The phrase 'empty space' is meaningless and the old problem of 'action at a distance' is still unconquered.

As to space, which is bound up with time, it is not an objective entity at all, but a concept based on the idea of geometrical points. But it is used by writers in a half-dozen senses and in no-sense and nonsense, always without attempt at definition. Here, once again, discretion is the better part.

* (Ref. to Goodwin); The Science Book of Space Travel: Cardinal Edition of Pocket Books, Inc., N.Y., 1955.

** All quotes from Russell are from The A B C of Atoms .

THE COSMIC RAYS - & - LIFE ON MARS *

Since the early research, beginning about 1911, the cosmic rays have been a leading subject of investigation among physicists. It may be of some interest to repeat here the opinions of an excarnate astronomer , Raymond Natalli - a contemporary of Shakespeare but very much up-to-date(and beyond it) . . . "C" means the Natalli Control, speaking thru the deep-trance mediumship of Mark Probert. "M.L." is your Editor.

Control: How do you do? I am Raymond Natalli. It is a pleasure to be here. Now to get to what you were speaking of, the sun and the energies that pour out of it, the cosmic ray. From my view and studies the cosmic ray originates from the sun. But there are many suns, and many times larger than the one in our galaxy.

M.L. The present consensus among scientists is that it does not emanate from the sun. (C): What, then, is their opinion?

M.L. They have no theory of its true origin. (C). Yet they say it does not come from the sun. (ML) They have their reasons for that, of course.

C. Oh, yes -- no doubt. I say, however, that it does. It comes from all suns and spreads out through the entire universe.

ML. Can anything be said of its nature, functions etc?

C. It has its good points and its very bad points. The gases that emanate from the suns turn into what you call the cosmic ray ... All bodies cast off various emanations, various energies. It is correct to suppose that the chemicals of these various worlds, planets, stars and whatever, carry some malignant energies-- at least they are malignant to certain kinds of life. The cosmic ray, harnessed and worked with, can be of good - also of bad -- possibly you here on earth will use it for the bad first.

ML. Did you hear us speaking about rays of the sun that produce harmful effects? Is the cosmic ray reckoned in that.

C. Yes. Some energies that make up the cosmic ray, yes. They dry up the tissues of the body faster than the glands can secrete.

ML. Do you have in mind, when speaking of the cosmic ray, a flow of electronic particles, or an etheric wave motion - or both?

C. I speak of electronic particles, because that is what the cosmic ray consists of. They are definitely electronic in nature. Yes, they are present throughout the universe. . . The effect on various skin conditions depends on two things: the individuals themselves, the types of food they eat, and general glandular action. You can resist anything in life if you are so constituted. . .

- concerning Mars -

C. It will be found that there are no canals on Mars constructed by what you call intelligent beings -- that these (canali) are giant cracks in the earth -- many times larger than your Grand Canyon... There is a form of life there, a low grade of vegetation, lower than your moss. There is no life corresponding to your vertebrate and mammals . . . I could say that there is life on what you call dark stars or planets, but vastly different from your own ... Yes, it is intelligent.. These beings are many times larger than you here... Contact has been made with them, by telepathy and by projection ... by the ancient secret Orders... Your knowledge of the Lokas is confused rather than inadequate; different teachers with different learning... There are endless facts, endless truths. Truth is like space; it is without an end... But it is nice to label things with a name; then you know where they are... But it is futile to say: 'these are the facts, there are no others.'

*(from Seance Memoranda. Part VII
30 pgs. $1.00

SECRETARY QUARLES, EDITOR GRIBBLE,* et al.

Editor R.J. Gribble, Director of the C.F.S.I.* is very much annoyed, justifiably and articulately, at Sec. of the A.F. Don Quarles for his statement of Oct. last, that 'nothing had been found to confirm the existence of flying saucers'. Director Gribble points out that the Secretary has concentrated on himself the anger and disgust of the whole 'saucer world', so to speak - and thinks he deserves NO sympathy whatever. The BSR Director concurs in all this, but also goes along with this further quote:

"The one thing most people have overlooked is, "Who gave the order to draw up the public announcement, and to publicise it. . . Who are the big shots in the background who are directly responsible for this great sham, which when exposed will be forever remembered by the American people? At no time in the history of this country have American citizens been exposed to such a mass dictatorial campaign of censorship, lies and ridicule by trusted officials of their government. The ridicule campaign ... is in itself a form of perfidy against the citizens whom they are supposed to be serving.

"The members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Secretary of Defense, and the National Security Council are responsible for the withholding of news of saucer activity around our globe. They are the ones who pull the strings on puppets like Quarles. . . This is not to question the loyalty of these men, but they are under oath to uphold the Constitution ... which includes freedom of the press .. The censorship is a direct violation of this Article and a deliberate infraction of their oath of office. . . '

Desmond Leslie, who has contributed excerpts from Notes from an American Tour to this issue of CQC (and to our next issue of Round Robin also) encountered a character similar to Secretary Quarles, when he interviewed physicist Menzel. For a close-up of the Mezelian psyche, see Leslie's article in this CQC. Fantastic as the UFO phenomena often are, the 'explanations' dreamed-up for them have been equally so, and worse, and ridiculous to boot.

As we have said heretofore, anyone who seriously doubts the objective, factu a l and actual existence of the 'flying objects' at this present day is either (1) grossly ignorant of the data, or (2) incapable of the most elementary reasoning processes, or (3) is under some kind of compulsion, intimidation, or strong inducement. Fear, suggestion, and auto-hypnosis can be subsumed under one or more of these heads.

Since the CQC is published primarily for BSR Associates, I take this space for again assuring them that the interpretation first received and offered by us in 1946- 47 continues to gain ground. There is hardly a mail which does not bring us words of approval and agreement - and almost always from writers, investigators, and serious-minded students who have taken the trouble to explore the 'etheric' hypothesis. Many of these, for some reason, come from England, where this approach is most favorably regarded.

It is important to remember, that the average person desires to be satisfied with specious, glib and easy-flowing phrases; does not desire facts and meanings which require an effort to understand, and/or disturb his common acceptances. To 'educate' the average adult is almost impossible - especially if he happens to be 'educated' already, in the school-and-textbook sense, with a mind well-crystallized. We may as well accept the fact, that the physics and metaphysics of the UFO phenomena will never be understood by the present generation, but of false substitutes there will be a-plenty. Let us only try to learn what we can, individually - and try to make a few basic facts intelligible, to those who want them.

---

* Flying Saucer Review, 5108 Findlay St. Seattle 18, Washington. Mo., $3.00.

PUBLICATIONS - - - -

"Flying Saucer Review":(published monthly)-- "A Report On Interplanetary Spacecraft" --(This is the Official Publication Of Civilian Flying Saucer Intelligence -- Hdqrs at: 5108 Findlay St., Seattle 18, Washington. - Editor and CFSI Director: Robert J. Gribble. - Subscriptions: U.S.,and U.S. possessions, & Canada: $3.00. -- Foreign - $3.50. -(10 to 12 pages, large size.)

"Flying Saucer Review": (published bi-monthly) -- Edited by: Derek D. Dempster. -- -- Yearly subscription, $3.50 in U.S. & Canada -- and order through: W.B. Lansbury, Box 3B, 55 West 92nd St., New York 25, N.Y. -- Or, order from: Flying Saucer Service, Ltd., 1 Doughty St., London, W.C.1, England., price- 1 pound, 1 shilling($3.00).

"Understanding": (pub. monthly) --Editor: Daniel W. Fry.- Subscription rates: .25 per copy; one year (12 issues)-$2.50; Address: "Understanding"- 4720 Peck Road -- El Monte, California. - (about 16 pgs.) /--(about 32 pgs)/----

"Saucers": (pub. quarterly, by Flying Saucers International - addr.:P.O. Box 35034, Los Angeles 35, California.-- Max B. Miller, Editor.- Subscriptions- 4 issues-$1.00- .25 per issue.- (about 16 pages).

"The Ether and Its Vortices", by C.F. Krafft. -- (booklet - about 65 pages).- Pub. by the author (C.F. Krafft), at: Route 2 - Box 687 -- Annandale, Virginia. - Price: $1.00.-(The existence of the ether is vital to the interpretation of the aeroforms).

"Metaphysical Digest"--Journal of The Society of Metaphysicians--Hdqrs.: Archer's Court, Hastings, England. -- Mr. John J. Williamson, Editor.- (quarterly)- about 30 pgs. -(send card of inquiry-).

"The Waukegan Contact Group (W.C.G.) Research Bulletin"-- published monthly by -- Waukegan Contact Group - 2135 Hyde Park - Waukegan, Illinois.- Subscription rates $5.00 per year; single issues, .50. --(Pls. enclose postage if answer desired-).-- (about 23 pgs.)-

"Visitors From Space" -(The Fellowship of Golden Illumination) -- Eugene H. Drake, Director.- Addr.: 1014 So. Lake St., Los Angeles 6, California.-(About 34 pgs.)- (send card of inquiry for price per booklet.)

"Orbit" -(C.R.I.F.O.)-(The official publication of Civilian Research, Interplanetary Flying Objects).-Editor and Director, Leonard H. Stringfield.- Address: 7017 Britton Ave., Cincinnati 27, Ohio. -(Formerly the "Newsletter").-- Monthly publication. - Price: $3.00 per year.- .25 each.

- - - - - - - - - - -

"The Electronic Medical Digest": (Quarterly,) concerning advanced electronic therapy-- These are new, not used, issues. -About 40 pgs.- Publication now suspended.-- We can supply the following back issues: -- each.

Year: 1947 -- Vol. 31- 3 copies of No. 2; 3 c. No. 6.
1948 -- Vol. 32- 3 " of Nos. 1 - 2 - 3 - and 4. (complete year)
1949 -- Vol. 33- 3 " of No. 1.
1950 -- Vol. 34- 3 " " No. 1 (Winter); 1950 (Autumn)-Vol. 34- 3 c No. 4. -
1951 -- Vol. 35- 3 c. each of Nos. 1, 2, 3, & 4.
1952 -- Vol. 36- 3 c. each of Nos. 1, 2, & 3 (only).
-1953- Vol. 37- 3 c. each of Nos. 1, 2, & 3. --- 1954:Vol. 38- 3 c of No. 1; 3 c.of (still 1954:- No. 2; 3 c. of No 3-4. (Year-1955: Vol. 39 -- 3 c. of No. 1 (only). --Publisher's price on these was .50per copy, or $2.00 per year (4 issues). -- Our price: .40 each, or 10 copies for $3.50. (Order from B.S.R.A. - 3524 Adams Ave., - San Diego 16, California.

CTIA2 - 000112
COMMANDS
Testing Terminal
V 1.0
Copyright 1984 Data Systems Inc.